Mr. Frank Kontos
210 Carlyle Drive, Unit 22
London, Ontario
Canada N5V 3M9

8/13/95

Dear Mr. Kontos,

I appreciate your letter of the first, here yesterday, and your The Global Village Prospect. I explain so you can better understand what follows.

I am now 82, have been on borrowed time for quite a few years, am feeble and amd trying to perfect the record for our history to the degree possible for me now. This means that each thing I do is at the cost of something else I'll not be able to do. In addition, I have nothibg to do with assassination theories. I have not read one that is proven and almost all are not tenable. They all in varying degrees come from ignorance of what does exit, officially established fact of the assassination. It is this official fact that disproves the official mythology. I'm sorry I have no copies of my current NEVER AGAIN (Carroll & Graf) to send you. I've made many effort to get copies to be able to use them without response. This is in general the situation of factual, responsible work on the assassination when it can be published. Before it they butchered what appared as Case Open but the reception it got was fine.

If you get NEVER AGAIN!, and it is being sold in Candda from the letters and calls I've been getting, you will see that it begins by alleging and proving that there was an official conspiracy not to investigate or solve the crime itself and that made it impossible for private persons to do that.

You also use what I regard as undependable sources, no matter how attraictve they are made. They are generally by these theoreticians who believe their insight and belief are superior to fact.

The House assassins committee never had the intention of disagreeing with the official mythology and any understanding of their published hearings makes this clear.

While you quote Weld correctly the fact is, as Hoover testified, that to the FBI that would forever be an open case. As it is to the FBI and to others.

You use the autopsy body chart from my 1965 book yet seem not to be aware that it ends with a direct quotation from what you said would be suppressed until 2039. That was Mark Lane's fiction to promote himself. What Warren was referring to is the standing government regulation against disclosing what can damage peoples reputations. It did not apply to all the Commission's records. If you are familiar with my second, 1966 book, you should be aware that much of it comes from those records available at the National archives. And if you had my 1975 Post Mortem you'd have use the original of that body chart. It has the approval of the President's physician on it.

In thumbing I notice your statement on page 17 quoting a letter you say Katzenbach wrote Hoover that you say is the origibal of the single-bullet theory. It is not. The Katzenbach memo was not as you say before a trial took place but in

fact was written, as you'd have seen in "NEVER AGAIN""! if you were not concentrating on the thories and other junk instead of what is real abd factual, as soon as Katzenbach knew there would be no trial. And it is simply false as you say here that it is not possible to disprove the single-bullet theory. I've done it many times, with the official evidence. But when you go for all the subject matter ignoramuses I see you mention as I turn pages, you will never get to understand the realities and you will not be able to convey them to others.

And the alternative is to mislead and deceive others.

The umbrella man is irrelevant and is nonsense. Your source on this is the most undependable. And factually ignorant. By intent. He regards fact as immaterial and has said so,

The Commission did not delete ~~from~~ Z frames 208 through 211. Or, you have not seen my third book, which tells that story correctly.

"The first gun found" was not that Mauser, which was never found there.

Your "Ultra-Right Team" is sheer fantasy. I'm just turning pages and these things catch my eye.

Kennedy dod not write Profiles when "A young Santor."

It is simply outrageous and indecent that you use the Donahue-Menninger fabriactio to refer to the innoncent Hickey as a "conspirator." That book is worse than trash and Donahue knew before it was written that it was impossible.

I see more simply awful stuff for which I take no time. Like Marita Lorenz who can't be believed on anything she said and some of what she said is established as impossible.

Oliver Stone was not "Stoned." He began by lying about the movie he would make. He said it was nonfiction. That is impossible from the faulty Garrison book and the Marks mythologies. I told him that long before he started shooting. He did not respond. He has every right to say anything he wants to say but he has no ~~write~~ right to pim off cheap fiction as nonfictuon. Thus, to make a record that what he was doing is fiction, I was responsible for the newspaper reports on that.

I do not take time for ~~me~~ more but I've seen much more.

To begin with you should be in a position to know what can and cannot be true, what is fiction and what qualifications for saying what they did your sources have. When you write to inform the people you should know what is and what is not real and true. The more misinformation and disinformation the people get the more confused they are the the more official ~~as~~ miscreants are exculpated.

It takes more than good intentions.

Sorry my typing cannot be any better.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg